Federal Single Audit and State Single Audit

of the

State Education Resource Center (A Component Unit of the State of Connecticut)

For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

State Education Resource Center (A Component Unit of the State of Connecticut) For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1-2
Federal Single Audit	
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance	3-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9
State Single Audit	
Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance Required by the State Single Audit Act	10-12
Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance	13
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance	14
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	15



Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Board of Directors State Education Resource Center

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the State Education Resource Center ("SERC"), a component unit of the State of Connecticut, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise SERC's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered SERC's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of SERC's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of SERC's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether SERC's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Page 2

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of SERC's internal control on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering SERC's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Wethersfield, Connecticut

PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP

December 30, 2019

Federal Single Audit



Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Board of Directors
State Education Resource Center

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the State Education Resource Center's ("SERC") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of SERC's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019. SERC's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of SERC's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* ("Uniform Guidance"). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about SERC's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of SERC's compliance.

Page 2

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, SERC complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of SERC is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered SERC's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of SERC's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Page 3

Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the State Education Resource Center, a component unit of the State of Connecticut, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise SERC's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2019, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Wethersfield, Connecticut December 30, 2019

PKF O'Connor Davies LLP

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Federal CFDA	Pass Through Entity Identifying	Provided Through to	Total
Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Number	Subrecipients	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture: Passed through State Department of Education: State Administrative Expenses for Child Nutrition	10.560	12060-SDE64165-30634	\$ -	22,586
U.S. Department of Education: Passed through Capitol Region Education Council (CREC): Statewide Family Engagement Centers	84.310A	N/A	-	10,558
Passed through State Department of Education: Special Education Cluster: Special Education - Grants to States (IDEA, Part B)	84.027	12060-SDE64370-20977-2019	-	3,512,468
Special Education - Preschool Grants (IDEA Preschool)	84.173	12060-SDE64370-20983-2019	-	28,559
Total Special Education Cluster				3,541,027
School Safety National Activities	84.184	12060-SDE22684-82022-2019	-	418,819
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	84.325A	12060-SDE64510-34935	-	12,496
Total U.S Department of Education				3,982,900
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Passed through State Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services: State Targeted Response to the Opioid Crisis Grants	93.788	12060-MHA51290	-	50,426
Passed through State Department of Education: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243	12060-SDE26268-82158-2019		34,018
Total Federal Awards			\$ -	\$ 4,089,930

N/A - Not available or not applicable

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

1. Basis of presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards ("Schedule") includes the federal award activity of the State Education Resource Center ("SERC") under programs of the federal government. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards ("Uniform Guidance").

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of SERC, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of SERC.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

- A. Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.
- B. Under agreement with the State of Connecticut Department of Education, SERC applies a restricted indirect cost rate of 27.25% to restricted programs and 37.53% unrestricted indirect cost rate to other programs.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

2018-001 Cash Management – Special Education Cluster – 84.027 / 84.173

Condition

Excess monies were drawn down from the State Department of Education for the Special Education Cluster during the fiscal year.

Current Status

The finding has been corrected.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

I. Summary of Auditors' Results Financial Statements Type of auditors' report issued: **Unmodified Opinion** Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? yes x no Significant deficiency(ies) identified? _yes x none reported Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _yes <u>x</u>no Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? yes Significant deficiency(ies) identified? x none reported yes Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: **Unmodified Opinion** Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a)? yes x no Identification of major programs: CFDA Number Name of Federal Program 84.027 / 84.173 **Special Education Cluster** Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? x yes _ ___no II. Findings - Financial Statement Audit None.

III. Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Award Programs Audit

None.

State Single Audit



Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program;
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and
Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of State
Financial Assistance Required by the State Single Audit Act

Board of Directors
State Education Resource Center

Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program

We have audited the State Education Resource Center's ("SERC") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the Office of Policy and Management's *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of SERC's major state programs for the year ended June 30, 2019. SERC's major state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its state programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of SERC's major state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the State Single Audit Act (C.G.S. Sections 4-230 to 4-236). Those standards and the State Single Audit Act require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about SERC's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of SERC's compliance.

Page 2

Opinion on Each Major State Program

In our opinion, SERC complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major state programs for the year ended June 30, 2019.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of SERC is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered SERC's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance for each major state program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the State Single Audit Act, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of SERC's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the State Single Audit Act. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Page 3

Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance Required by the State Single Audit Act

We have audited the financial statements of the State Education Resource Center ("SERC"), a component unit of the State of Connecticut, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise SERC's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2019, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise SERC's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the State Single Audit Act and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Wethersfield, Connecticut

PKF O'Connor Davies LLP

December 30, 2019

Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	State Grant				
	Program	Pas	ssed		
State Grantor/Pass Through	Core-CT	Through to Subrecipients		Total Expenditures	
Grantor/Program Title	Number				
Department of Education:					
Parent Trust	11000-SDE64000-12506	\$	-	\$	244,063
Technical High Schools OE	11000-SDE64341-12602				2,436
Total State Financial Assistance		\$	-	\$	246,499

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance includes state grant activity of the State Education Resource Center ("SERC"), under programs of the State of Connecticut. The Department of Education of the State of Connecticut has provided financial assistance through grants and other authorizations in accordance with the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut. These financial assistance programs fund special education related programs.

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of SERC, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of SERC.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

The accounting policies of SERC conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

The information in the schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance is presented based upon regulations established by the State of Connecticut, Office of Policy and Management.

Basis of Accounting

The expenditures reported on the schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. In accordance with Section 4-236-22 of the Regulations to the State Single Audit Act, certain grants are not dependent on expenditure activity and, accordingly, are considered to be expended in the fiscal year of receipt. These grant program receipts are reflected in the expenditures column of the schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance.

Indirect Cost Rate

Under agreement with the State of Connecticut Department of Education, SERC applies a restricted indirect cost rate of 27.25% to restricted programs and 37.53% unrestricted indirect cost rate to other programs.

3. Prior year findings and questioned costs

There were no prior year audit findings or questioned costs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

I. Summary of Auditors' Results

	Financial Statements			
	Type of auditor's opinion issued:	Unmodified Opinion		
	Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	yes <u>x</u> no yes <u>x</u> none	reporte	ed
	Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes <u>x</u> no		
	State Financial Assistance			
	Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	yes <u>x</u> no yes <u>x</u> none	reporte	ed
	Type of auditors' opinion issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified Opinion		
	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 4-236-24 of the Regulations to the State Single Audit Act?	yes <u>x</u> no		
	The following schedule reflects the major programs included	in the audit:		
	State Grantor and Program	State Core-CT Number	re-CT Number Expenditur	
	Department of Education: Parent Trust	11000-SDE64000-12506	\$	244,063
	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	<u>\$100,000</u>		
II.	Financial Statement Findings			
	None			
III.	State Financial Assistance Findings and Questioned Cost	ts		
	None			